

MAR 21 2008

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUAN MANUEL OROZCO-SOLIS,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-72347

Agency No. A91-087-979

MEMORANDUM *

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 18, 2008**

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Juan Manuel Orozco-Solis, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

06-72347

reopen removal proceedings due to ineffective assistance of counsel. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the BIA's denial of a motion to reopen, and review de novo claims of due process violations. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

Even if Orozco-Solis's counsel failed to inform him of his right to petition for review of its August 12, 2002 decision dismissing his appeal, Orozco-Solis did not argue the immigration judge erred in denying his original request to terminate proceedings, or otherwise identify a plausible ground of relief from removal, so his claim to ineffective assistance of counsel fails. *See Rojas-Garcia v. Ashcroft*, 339 F.3d 814, 826 (9th Cir. 2003) (alien must show plausible grounds of relief from removal in order to establish prejudice).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.